RHODORA, Vol. 96, No. 886, pp. 170-178, 1994

NOMENCLATURAL NOTES IN NYMPHAEACEAE FOR THE NORTH AMERICAN FLORA

JOHN H. WIERSEMA AND C. BARRE HELLQUIST

ABSTRACT

In conjunction with a study of the Nymphaeaceae in North America, the taxonomy and nomenclature of three taxa is reviewed. Two new combinations are

provided at subspecific rank for two taxa sometimes treated as species, one for *Nymphaea tuberosa* Paine and one for *Nuphar rubrodisca* Morong, and both are lectotypified. A neotype is selected for *Nymphaea advena* Aiton which serves to maintain usage of Aiton's epithet for a widespread taxon of *Nuphar*.

Key Words: Nuphar, Nymphaea, water-lilies, cow-lilies, spatterdock

Research on the genera *Nymphaea* and *Nuphar* of the Nymphaeaceae has revealed that the following nomenclatural adjustments are necessary for a flora of North America treatment.

Nymphaea odorata Aiton subsp. tuberosa (Paine) Wiersema & Hellquist, comb. nov.

Nymphaea tuberosa Paine, Annual Rep. State Cabinet Nat. Hist. New York
18: 184 (Cat. pl. Oneida Co. 132). 1865. TYPE: UNITED STATES.
New York: S. shore of Lake Ontario, 1865, Paine s.n. (LECTOTYPE: K). See discussion.

Nymphaea odorata, which is distributed throughout eastern North America, is a polymorphic species. In and around the Great Lakes region, where the plants here designated as subsp. *tuberosa* are found, two predominate forms can be observed. In the southern part of the range of subsp. tuberosa where subsp. odorata is absent, e.g., in Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, and somewhat to the north and east, plants of subsp. tuberosa are easily distinguished morphologically from subsp. odorata (see accompanying key). Further north where their ranges overlap occasional populations are intermediate in morphology or more rarely populations may include plants referable to both subspecies as well as intermediate plants. The intermediates exhibit a range of variation spanning the morphological gap between the two subspecies and, in some cases at least, display no evidence of reduced fertility. Although traditional treatments distinguished the two forms at specific rank, several recent floristic works (e.g., Voss, 1985; Glea-

170

1994] Wiersema and Hellquist–Nymphaeaceae 171

son and Cronquist, 1991) have combined them into one variable species without further distinction. While calling attention to this taxonomic problem, field studies from within this region (Monson, 1960; Williams, 1970; Bayly and Jongejan, 1982) have not sufficiently accounted for the observed variation. These studies suggest that some variability may be induced by environmental conditions; however, we have observed both extremes growing together under seemingly identical conditions. Such populations require more detailed study before this variation is fully understood. Artificial hybridization studies and/or molecular approaches may also aid in clarifying this relationship. Based on existing knowledge, we believe the geographic patterning of the overall variation and the usefulness of retaining a separate status for those forms previously classified as Nymphaea tuberosa justifies the recognition of two subspecies, as distinguished below. While useful in separating the two extremes in this morphological continuum, the key is of limited use in identifying intermediate plants. Compounding the problem of identification is the fact that key characters are often poorly represented on herbarium material. Populations containing intermediate plants are known from Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, New York, Vermont, and southern Ontario and Quebec and until better understood are best treated as Nymphaea odorata without regard to subspecies.

 Petioles not striped; blades usually reddish-purple (occasionally green) abaxially; seeds 1.5–2.5 mm long subsp. odorata
 Petioles with brown-purple stripes; blades green or faintly purple abaxially; seeds mostly 2.8–4.5 mm long

.....subsp. tuberosa

Paine (1865) cited a number of localities for *Nymphaea tuberosa* but failed to designate a holotype. To fix the application of his

name it is appropriate to select a lectotype. Conard's (1905) listing of "*Nymphaea tuberosa* Paine (1865), fid. specimen coll. Paine on S. shore of Lake Ontario, from hb. A. Gray, in hb. Kew" is considered not to represent an effective lectotypification as it does not satisfy the requirements of Article 8.3 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Greuter et al., 1988). The spec-

172 Rhodora

[Vol. 96

imen at Kew contains a leaf, two flowers, and a developing fruit and matches our concept of subsp. *tuberosa*; it is here formally designated as lectotype.

Nuphar lutea (L.) Smith subsp. rubrodisca (Morong) Hellquist & Wiersema, comb. nov.

Nuphar rubrodiscum Morong, Bot. Gaz. 11: 167–168. 1886. TYPE: UNIT-ED STATES. Vermont: at the mouth of Lewis Creek, Lake Champlain, Ferrisburgh, 5 Aug 1885, Morong s.n. (LECTOTYPE: NY). See discussion. Nymphaea rubrodisca (Morong) E. Greene, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 15: 84. 1888.

While working with the genus Nuphar Smith, we became aware of the need for a new combination in addition to those at subspecific rank previously made by Beal (1956). Nuphar lutea subsp. rubrodisca, which Beal treated under the hybrid formula N. lutea subsp. pumila (Timm) E. O. Beal \times N. lutea subsp. variegata (Durand) E. O. Beal and most likely of hybrid origin, is producing viable seed and is found in areas far removed from either of the probable parents. It differs from the other two subspecies in a number of characteristics, as detailed in our flora treatment, and in accordance with Article H.3.4 Note 1 and Example 3, we prefer to treat this as an additional subspecies. As no name at subspecific rank exists for this taxon, Morong's epithet, which has most commonly been applied to it, can be retained. As Morong failed to designate a holotype, a lectotype is selected. In his original publication, Morong discussed his study of this taxon during the summer of 1885 along Lake Champlain at Ferrisburgh, Vermont near the mouths of Lewis and Little Otter creeks. Following the description, he lists "Lake Champlain, Vt." as the type locality. Three sheets of this taxon from Morong's original herbarium now at NY pertain to this study. One sheet stamped "MORONG HERBARIUM" contains 3 leaves and 3 mounted and several unmounted fruits and bears two labels: 1) "Ivs. of N. rubrodiscum, Ferrisburgh, Vt., Aug. 5, 1885" and 2) "N. rubrodiscum fruit, Ferrisburgh, Aug. 11." A second sheet stamped "MORONG HERBARIUM" and "BRITTON HER-BARIUM" contains 4 leaves, 1 mounted flower, and 3 mounted and some unmounted fruits and bears 4 labels: 1) "Leaves of N. rubrodiscum, Lewis Creek, Ferrisburgh, Vt."; 2) identical with 1;

Wiersema and Hellquist-Nymphaeaceae 173 1994]

3) "N. rubrodiscum Morong, Lewis Creek, Ferrisburgh, Vt.; Aug. 6, 1885"; and 4) "N. rubrodiscum, Lewis Creek, Ferrisburgh, Vt., Aug. 11, 1885." On all the above labels the epithet luteum has been overwritten with rubrodiscum. The third sheet stamped "MORONG HERBARIUM, property of BARNARD COL-LEGE" contains 1 leaf, 1 flower, and 2 fruits and bears a single label: "Nuphar rubrodiscum Morong, N. luteum Sm.?. At the mouth of Lewis Creek, Lake Champlain, Ferrisburgh, Vermont. Leg. T.M. 18 5/8 85." This sheet is accompanied by a lengthy note written by Morong the content of which is entirely reproduced in the protologue. On this sheet the provisional name Nuphar rubrum has been replaced with N. rubrodiscum both on the label and the note. While all three sheets match the original description, this third sheet is the only one which appears to represent a single gathering. It is also the most completely labelled and contains both flowers and fruits. It has been selected as lectotype.

Nuphar lutea (L.) Sm. subsp. advena (Aiton) Kartesz & Gandhi, Phytologia 67: 463. 1989, "advenum."

Nymphaea advena Aiton, Hort. kew. 2: 226. 1789. TYPE: UNITED STATES. Pennsylvania: Philadelphia, tidal marsh along Darby Creek in John Heinz National Wildlife Refuge at Tinicum, 24 July 1993, J. H. Wiersema & A. E. Schuyler 2372 (NEOTYPE: PH; ISONEOTYPES: US, BM). See discussion. Nuphar advena (Aiton) W. T. Aiton, Hort. kew. ed. 2, 3: 295. 1811.

In conjunction with this study, we have been investigating the typification of Nymphaea advena Aiton, which has commonly been applied to a taxon of North American Nuphar, as Nuphar advena (Aiton) W. T. Aiton or Nuphar lutea subsp. advena (Aiton) Kartesz & Gandhi. The original publication (Aiton, 1789) is a "catalogue of the plants cultivated in the Royal Botanic Gardens at Kew." As is typical of most early botanical publications, no specimens were directly cited in the protologue of Nymphaea advena. The native distribution was given as "North America," the phrase name Nymphaea floribus flavis Clayton in Gronovius, Flora virginica 164. 1743 was cited, and it was said to have been introduced by Mr. William Young in 1772. According to Stafleu and Cowan (1976), type material for both Hortus kewensis and

Rhodora

[Vol. 96

Flora virginica is deposited at BM. However, from correspondence with the herbaria of both Kew (G. L. Lucas, pers. comm.) and British Museum (R. Vickery, pers. comm.) it is clear that no material of the Kew cultivation or the John Clayton collection, which served as the basis for the Gronovius phrase name, can be located.

The original description appears to combine characteristics of

two taxa, Nuphar advena and Nuphar variegata Durand, which we distinguish at the rank of subspecies following Beal (1956). The traits of semiterete petioles and purple-colored sepals and stamens best apply to Nuphar lutea subsp. variegata (Durand) E. O. Beal while the emergent leaves clearly indicate subsp. advena. Most early users of Aiton's name (Poiret, 1798; Willdenow, 1799, 1809; Michaux, 1803; Sims, 1803; Martyn, 1807; de Candolle, 1821; Torrey and Gray, 1838; Planchon, 1853; Morong, 1886) did not recognize the distinctions between the two taxa. Pursh (1814) distinguished the two, but misapplied the European Nuphar lutea to what was named Nuphar variegata in 1866. Bigelow (1824) and Hooker (1829), while noting the differences between the northern and southern plants, continued to treat both as a single taxon. Hooker's comment that "Dr. Graham and myself have long observed that the N. advena, as cultivated in our gardens, has the leaves sometimes floating, sometimes rising above the water" indicates that both taxa were introduced to Europe. Whether or not this was the case some 40 years earlier when the original description was published by Aiton is not known. In any event, none of these early authors succeeded in typifying Nymphaea advena. The relationship between the two taxa was clarified by Miller (1902). Though he stated that the type locality of Nymphaea advena was probably Philadelphia, Miller failed to lectotypify the name. In their revision, Miller and Standley (1912) listed the type locality as "vicinity of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania" but cited no type specimen. Beal's 1956 revision accepted Nuphar lutea subsp. variegata for the floating-leaved northern taxon and Nuphar lutea subsp. macrophylla (Small) E. O. Beal for the emergent-leaved southern one, listing Aiton's name as a partial synonym of both. For nomenclatural reasons, Kartesz and Gandhi (1989) replaced subsp. macrophylla with subsp. advena (Aiton) Kartesz & Gandhi. To this day, however, Aiton's name has never been properly typified. Since Miller it has consistently been applied to the emer-

1994] Wiersema and Hellquist—Nymphaeaceae 175

gent-leaved taxon and to preserve this usage the name must be typified on that element.

The supposition that the type locality should be Philadelphia was presumably based on that being the home of the William Young referred to by Aiton as having introduced the plant to England in 1772. According to Harshberger (1917), William Young, Jr. (1742–85) of Philadelphia, in his capacity as botanist to the Queen of England, departed Philadelphia for England in November of 1771, no doubt carrying the Nuphar material he is credited with having introduced the following year. A 1772 letter from Dr. John Fothergill of London to Humphrey Marshall of Philadelphia, reported by Rhoads (1916), reports receipt of material of *Nelumbo* from William Young, Jr. that same year. Young is known to have carried material from the Carolinas abroad but this was in 1768 and 1769 (Harshberger, 1917). As he did not apparently make any further trips to the southern states following his return to Philadelphia in 1770, his 1772 introductions to England would seem to have been collected near to his home in Philadelphia.

In his flora of the Philadelphia area, William Barton (1818) applied *Nuphar advena* to an emergent-leaved taxon which was said to be abundant "on the marshy shores of the Delaware, Schuylkill, and all other waters in our neighborhood, covering the shores for miles together in extent" and in terms of current usage this appears to be the desired application of the name. Young's estate was reportedly adjacent to that of John Bartram, which bordered the Schuylkill River (Harshberger, 1917). A recent field trip in the company of A. E. Schuyler provided an opportunity to study *Nuphar* in the Philadelphia area on both sides of the Delaware River near the mouth of the Schuylkill. Large populations still exist in some protected areas, probably remnants of the formerly extensive distribution. Much of the area remains under tidal influence as would have been the case in Young's time.

The populations consist of strongly emergent plants, almost

completely so at low tide, which have flowers mostly with parts variously tinged with reddish-purple. Such coloration is lacking over most of the range of the taxon commonly referred to as N. advena, but is characteristic of N. variegata, which is found at nearby sites in southern New Jersey. A full range of intermediate plants for those characters which normally distinguish the two

Rhodora

176

[Vol. 96

taxa can be observed in other southern New Jersey localities. Though the populations in the immediate vicinity of Philadelphia display some degree of intermediacy, their overall morphology compares well with *N. advena* as the name has been applied by most authors. One of our collections near the mouth of the Schuylkill thus serves as a suitable neotype.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to G. L. Lucas and R. Vickery for researching type material at K and BM, M. Beasley for supplying information on illustrations in the Young Collection at BM, A. E. Schuyler for help with field work in and around Philadelphia, and G. P. DeWolf, Jr., D. H. Nicolson, J. L. Reveal, A. Y. Rossman, E. L. Schneider, J. W. Thieret, and two anonymous reviewers for reviewing earlier drafts of this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

AITON, W. 1789. Hortus kewensis; or, a catalogue of the plants cultivated in the Royal Botanic Garden at Kew. George Nicol, London. 3 vols.
BARTON, W. P. C. 1818. Compendium Florae Philadelphicae: Containing a Description of the Indigenous and Naturalized Plants Found Within a Circuit of Ten Miles Around Philadelphia. Vol. 2. M. Carey & Son, Philadelphia. 234 pp.

BAYLY, I. L. AND K. JONGEJAN. 1982. A morphological and ecological variant of the tuberous water lily, *Nymphaea tuberosa* Paine, from the Jock River, Ottawa, Ontario. Canad. Field-Naturalist 96: 301–306.

BEAL, E. O. 1956. Taxonomic revision of the genus Nuphar Sm. of North America and Europe. J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. 72: 317–346.

BIGELOW, J. 1824. Florula Bostoniensis: A Collection of Plants of Boston and

its Vicinity, 2nd ed. Cummings, Hilliard, & Co., Boston. 422 pp.

CANDOLLE, A. P. DE. 1821. Regni Vegetabilis Systema Naturale. Vol. 2. Treuttel et Würtz, Paris. 745 pp.

CONARD, H. S. 1905. The waterlilies: a monograph of the genus Nymphaea. Publ. Carnegie Inst. Wash. 4: 1-279.

a don Carnegie mot. Trabin. T. 1 277.

GLEASON, H. A. AND A. CRONQUIST. 1991. Manual of Vascular Plants of Northeastern United States and Adjacent Canada, 2nd ed. New York Botanical Garden, New York. 910 pp.

GREUTER, W., H. M. BURDET, W. G. CHALONER, V. DEMOULIN, R. GROLLE, D. L. HAWKSWORTH, D. H. NICOLSON, F. A. STAFLEU, E. G. VOSS, AND J. MCNEILL. 1988. International code of botanical nomenclature, adopted by the Fourteenth International Botanical Congress, Berlin, July–August 1987. Regnum Veg. 118.

Wiersema and Hellquist-Nymphaeaceae 1994] 177

HARSHBERGER, J. W. 1917. William Young, Jr., of Philadelphia, Queen's Botanist. Torreya 17: 91-99.

- HOOKER, W. J. 1829. Flora Boreali-americana. Vol. 1. Henry G. Bohn, London. 351 pp.
- KARTESZ, J. T. AND K. N. GANDHI. 1989. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora. I. Phytologia 67: 461-467.
- MARTYN, T. 1807. Philip Miller's Gardener's and Botanist's Dictionary. Law and Gilbert, London.

MICHAUX, A. 1803. Flora Boreali-americana. C. Crapelet, Paris. MILLER, G. S., JR. 1902. The large yellow pond lilies of the northeastern United States. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 15: 11-13.

- -, AND P. C. STANDLEY. 1912. The North American species of Nymphaea. Contr. U.S. Natl. Herb. 16: 63-108.
- MONSON, P. H. 1960. Variation in Nymphaea, the white waterlily, in the Itasca State Park region. Proc. Minnesota Acad. Sci. 25-26: 26-39.
- MORONG, T. 1886. Revision of the North American species of Nuphar. Bot. Gaz. 11: 164–169.
- PAINE, J. A., JR. 1865. Catalogue of plants found in Oneida County and vicinity. Ann. Rep. State Cabinet Nat. Hist. New York 18: 53-205.
- PLANCHON, J.-E. 1853. Etudes sur les Nymphéacées. Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot. ser. 3, 19: 17-63.
- POIRET, J. L. M. 1798. Nenuphar; Nymphaea, pp. 454-458. In: Lamarck, Encyclopédie Méthodique. Botanique. Tome Quatrieme. H. Agasse, Paris. PURSH, F. 1814. Flora Americae Septentrionalis. White, Cochrane, and Co., London.

RHOADS, S. N. 1916. Preface to Botanica Neglecta. William Young, Jr. "Botaniste de Pensylvanie" and his long-forgotten book "Catalogue d'Arbres Arbustes et Plantes Herbacees d'Amerique" published in Paris in 1783. Privately printed, Philadelphia.

SIMS, J. 1803. Nymphaea advena. Three-colored water-lily. Bot. Mag. 17: t. 684. STAFLEU, F. A. AND R. S. COWAN. 1976. Taxonomic Literature. Volume 1: A-G. Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, Utrecht.

- TORREY, J. AND A. GRAY. 1838. A Flora of North America. Wiley & Putnam, New York.
- Voss, E. G. 1985. Michigan flora, Part II. Dicots (Saururaceae-Cornaceae). Cranbrook Institute of Science and University of Michigan Herbarium, Ann Arbor.
- WILLDENOW, C. L. 1799. Caroli a Linné Species Plantarum . . . Tomus II. G. C. Nauk, Berlin.
- ——. 1809. Enumeratio Plantarum Horti Regii Botanici Berolinensis. Taberna Libraria Scholae Realis, Berlin.
- WILLIAMS, G. R. 1970. Investigations in the white waterlilies (Nymphaea) of

Michigan. Michigan Bot. 9: 72-86.

J. H. W. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE BUILDING 011A, BARC-WEST BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705-2350

178 Rhodora C. B. H. NORTH ADAMS STATE COLLEGE BOX 9145 NORTH ADAMS, MASSACHUSETTS 01247

[Vol. 96